

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO): SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 02.08.11	Surveyor: M. Harris
Tree details	
TPO Ref: T2-545	Tree/Group No: T1 Species: Beech
Owner (if known): Mrs Diana Cude	
Location: 179 Wilton Road, Southampton. SO15 5HY	

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO:

Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

- | | |
|-----------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good | Highly suitable |
| 3) Fair | Suitable |
| 1) Poor | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Unsafe | Unsuitable |
| 0) Dead | Unsuitable |

Score & Notes

3.
10m semi-mature tree in rear garden. Tree in good health & has no significant structural defects.

b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:

Refer to 'Species Guide' section in Guidance Note

- | | |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+ | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable |
| 2) 20-40 | Suitable |
| 1) 10-20 | Just suitable |
| 0) <10 | Unsuitable |

Score & Notes

5.
Both trees <100yrs old. Life expectancy > 200years.

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:

NB: Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use; refer to Guidance Note

- | | |
|---|-------------------------|
| 5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent landscape features | Highly suitable |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public | Suitable |
| 3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only | Just suitable |
| 2) Small trees, or larger trees visible only with difficulty | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 1) Trees that are not visible to the public, regardless of size | Probably unsuitable |

Score & Notes

3.
Limited views from Wilton Road. More readily visible from Eastbourne Avenue.

d) Other factors

NB: Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees | <h4>Score & Notes</h4> <p>1.</p> |
| 4) Members of groups of trees that are important for their cohesion | |
| 3) Trees with significant historical or commemorative importance | |
| 2) Trees of good form, especially if rare or unusual | |
| 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features | |

Part 2: Expediency assessment

NB: Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify; refer to Guidance Note

- | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| 5) Known threat to tree | <h4>Score & Notes</h4> <p>2.</p> |
| 3) Perceived threat to tree | |
| 2) Reasonably foreseeable threat to tree | |
| 1) Threat to tree not reasonably foreseeable | |
| 0) Tree known to be an actionable nuisance | |

Part 3: Decision guide

- | | |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO |
| 1-10 | Does not merit TPO |
| 11-13 | Possibly merits TPO |
| 14+ | Definitely merits TPO |

Add Scores for Total:

14

Decision:

Issue TPO

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO): SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 02.08.11	Surveyor: M. Harris
Tree details	
TPO Ref: T2-545	Tree/Group No: T2 Species: Oak
Owner (if known): Mrs Diana Cude	
Location: 179 Wilton Road, Southampton. SO15 5HY	

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO:

Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

- | | |
|-----------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good | Highly suitable |
| 3) Fair | Suitable |
| 1) Poor | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Unsafe | Unsuitable |
| 0) Dead | Unsuitable |

Score & Notes

3.
10m semi-mature tree in rear garden. Tree in good health & has no significant structural defects.

b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:

Refer to 'Species Guide' section in Guidance Note

- | | |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+ | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable |
| 2) 20-40 | Suitable |
| 1) 10-20 | Just suitable |
| 0) <10 | Unsuitable |

Score & Notes

5.
Both trees <100yrs old. Life expectancy > 200years.

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:

NB: Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use; refer to Guidance Note

- | | |
|---|-------------------------|
| 5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent landscape features | Highly suitable |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public | Suitable |
| 3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only | Just suitable |
| 2) Small trees, or larger trees visible only with difficulty | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 1) Trees that are not visible to the public, regardless of size | Probably unsuitable |

Score & Notes

3.
Limited views from Wilton Road. More readily visible from Eastbourne Avenue.

d) Other factors

NB: Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees | <h4>Score & Notes</h4> <p>1.</p> |
| 4) Members of groups of trees that are important for their cohesion | |
| 3) Trees with significant historical or commemorative importance | |
| 2) Trees of good form, especially if rare or unusual | |
| 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features | |

Part 2: Expediency assessment

NB: Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify; refer to Guidance Note

- | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| 5) Known threat to tree | <h4>Score & Notes</h4> <p>2.</p> |
| 3) Perceived threat to tree | |
| 2) Reasonably foreseeable threat to tree | |
| 1) Threat to tree not reasonably foreseeable | |
| 0) Tree known to be an actionable nuisance | |

Part 3: Decision guide

- | | |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO |
| 1-10 | Does not merit TPO |
| 11-13 | Possibly merits TPO |
| 14+ | Definitely merits TPO |

Add Scores for Total:

14

Decision:

Issue TPO